

# Naomi Webber

**Year of Call:** 2017

**Email Address:** [naomi.webber@3pb.co.uk](mailto:naomi.webber@3pb.co.uk)

**Telephone:** 01865 793 736



## Employment and discrimination

Naomi has a thriving employment practice, acting for claimants and respondents across the country. Her practice covers a wide range of employment law, including:

- Unfair dismissal (including automatic unfair dismissal and constructive unfair dismissal)
- All forms of discrimination
- Whistleblowing
- Unlawful deduction from wages
- Annual leave/holiday pay
- Worker status
- Redundancy
- National Minimum Wage
- Equal pay
- Breach of contract (in the Employment Tribunal and County Court)

Naomi appears regularly in the employment tribunals at preliminary hearings, multi-day final hearings and judicial mediations, as well as regularly drafting pleadings and providing written advice. She also has experience of employment matters in the county court and in the EAT.

Naomi has been involved in group litigation, acting for one of 45 Respondents in a claim relating to discrimination in recruitment, and for one of 25 Respondents in a claim brought by 127 Claimants in relation to the 'no jab no job' policy for care homes.

Naomi has particular expertise in the field of holiday pay. She was junior counsel for the Respondent in *Harpur Trust v Brazel* [2022] UKSC 21 (appeal to the Supreme Court, concerning holiday pay for part-year workers). She has since provided extensive training and advice on the implications of the judgment and the subsequent changes to the law, as well as on other aspects of the law of holiday pay.

Naomi's background in university teaching means she is willing and able to provide training in a range of areas of employment law.

Prior to pupillage, Naomi worked as a judicial assistant in the Court of Appeal, where she worked on a number of ground-breaking employment cases, in areas including National Minimum Wage, whistleblowing, territorial jurisdiction, variation of contract and harassment.

## Articles

Naomi Webber reviews the case of *Masiero & others v Barchester Healthcare PLC* [2024] EAT 112, which highlights that reasonable business requirement to change terms of employment and reasonable reasons to refuse them are not mutually exclusive.

Naomi also outlines the correct approach to be taken where human rights are engaged and part of the relevant factors to be considered in the context of dismissals.

[View Article](#)

Naomi Webber reviews *Mr Martin Groom v Maritime and Coastguard Agency* [2024] EAT 71, in which the EAT found that a volunteer was a worker, in circumstances where he received remuneration for his activities as a coastal rescue officer.

The case confirms that 'volunteer' is not a term of art (or law), and each relationship will have to be considered on its own facts.

[View Article](#)

[Naomi Webber](#) reviews the changes to the annual leave entitlement and holiday pay of part-year and irregular hours workers, as The Employment Rights (Amendment, Revocation and Transitional Provision) Regulations 2023 and the Holiday pay and entitlement reforms came into force on 1 January 2024.

[View Article](#)

[Naomi Webber](#) considers the first case relating to Covid-19 and s.100(1)(d) of the Employment Rights Act 1996 to reach the Court of Appeal, *Rodgers v Leeds Laser Cutting* [2022]. The case determines the employers liability in relation to serious and imminent danger claims from an employee during the pandemic.

[View Article](#)

The counsel team for Mrs Brazel in the much publicised *Harpur Trust v Brazel* case, [Mathew Gullick KC](#), [Lachlan Wilson](#) and [Naomi Webber](#) reflect on the recent Government consultation paper looking at holiday pay for part-year workers.

[View Article](#)

*Nursing and Midwifery Council v Somerville* [2022] EWCA Civ 229

[Naomi Webber](#) analyses the Court of Appeal's judgment in *Nursing and Midwifery Council v Somerville* [2022] EWCA Civ 229, which provides a useful clarification when assessing the worker status of an individual who provides work under an overarching or other service level agreement, and confirms that the concept of a 'limb (b) worker' is broader than may have previously been thought.

[View Article](#)

Naomi Webber analyses *R (the Motherhood Plan & Anor) v Her Majesty's Treasury* [2021] EWCA Civ 1703, 'in which the Court of Appeal dismissed the appeal brought by women who were disadvantaged by the Self Income Support Scheme. It did demonstrate, however, that care must be taken not to discriminate when creating schemes that rely on factors which may be affected by periods of maternity (and other) leave.

[View Article](#)

Naomi Webber reviews *R (Cornerstone) v Ofsted* [2021] EWCA Civ 1390, a case considering whether a requirement for foster parents to be in heterosexual marriages on religious grounds was discriminatory.

[View Article](#)

Naomi Webber reviews *Steer v Stormsure Ltd* [2021] EWCA Civ 887, a case which examines whether the lack of provision for interim relief in discrimination and victimisation claims under the Equality Act does not breach ECHR rules.

[View Article](#)

Naomi Webber examines the Court of Appeal's decision in *National Union of Professional Foster Carers v The Certification Officer* [2021] EWCA Civ 548, the latest in a number of decisions to look at the unique position of foster carers, this time considering the ability to form trade unions, under Article 11 European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms ('ECHR') (the right to freedom of association).

[View Article](#)

*R (On the application of Motherhood Plan & Anor) v HM Treasury & Anor* [2021] EWHC 309 (Admin)

[Naomi Webber](#) analyses the case of *R (On the application of Motherhood Plan & Anor) v HM Treasury & Anor* [2021] EWHC 309 (Admin). A case concerning direct discrimination.

[View Article](#)

[Naomi Webber](#) analyses *Steer v Stormsure Ltd* UKEAT/0216/20/AT, a case which could well add another tool in the armoury for claimants bringing in claims for discriminatory dismissals.

[View Article](#)

Disability Discrimination in the Employment Tribunal: lessons for education lawyers  
Sarah Bowen and Naomi Webber consider two recent appellate decisions (*Khorochilova v Euro Rep Limited* UKEAT/0266/19/DA and *Robinson v DWP* [2020] EWCA Civ 859) in respect of the provisions of the Equality Act 2010 (EqA) in relation to the protected characteristic of disability. Whilst the facts of the cases relate to the employment sector, the same definition of disability applies in the context of education. Accordingly, their principles are directly relevant and applicable to disability discrimination claims in education.

[View Article](#)

Employment law barrister, Naomi Webber analyses the case of *Varnish v British Cycling*.

[View Article](#)

3PB's employment law barrister Naomi Webber reviews the case of *Gould v St Johns Downshire* UKEAT/0002/20/BA.

[View Article](#)

Be wary of the 'last straw': *Williams v Alderman Davies Church in Wales Primary School* UKEAT/0108/19/LA

[View Article](#)

Is the law of vicarious liability still 'on the move'? *Barclays Bank plc v Various Claimants* [2020] UKSC 13

The 126 claimants in this case were all employees of Barclays Bank who, at the start of their employment between the late 1960s and early 1980s, were required to undergo a medical examination. Examinations were carried out by Dr Bates (now deceased), a general practitioner who was not an employee of the Bank but engaged as an independent contractor to provide this service, and did so at his home. The Claimants alleged that they were sexually assaulted by Dr Bates while undergoing this examination and brought a group action against the Bank for compensation. A preliminary issue was whether Barclays could be vicariously liable for his actions.

At first instance, the High Court found that Barclays had been vicariously liable. The Court of Appeal agreed, applying the

five-part test in *Various Claimants v Catholic Child Welfare Society* [2012] UKSC 56, and *Cox v Ministry of Justice* [2016] UKSC 10.

Supreme Court Decision (Lady Hale) - the key issue was whether the relationship between Dr Bates and Barclays was 'akin to employment'. The Supreme Court held unanimously that it was not.

[View Article](#)

EAT Case Summaries by Daniel Brown and Naomi Webber.

[View Article](#)

Diplomatic immunity and leapfrog: Naomi Webber analyses *Basfar v Wong* UKEAT/0223/19/BA

[View Article](#)

Ethical veganism: a philosophical belief. Naomi Webber analyses *Casamitjana v The League Against Cruel Sports* (ET case no. 3331129/2018).

[View Article](#)

Is a belief in the moral importance of copyright a 'philosophical belief'? Naomi Webber analyses *Gray v Mulberry* [2019] EWCA Civ 1720

[View Article](#)

Shared parental leave – Discrimination against men? Naomi Webber analyses *Ali v Capita Customer Management Ltd, Chief Constable of Leicestershire v Hextall* [2019] EWCA Civ 900

[View Article](#)

## Recommendations

Naomi Webber is adept at representing both respondents and claimants in employment proceedings spanning unfair dismissal, wage disputes and discrimination claims. She has appeared in a Supreme Court appeal concerning holiday pay for part-year workers.

"A fantastic counsel, she is knowledgeable and experienced." "She is a good advocate."

**Chambers UK 2025**/Employment/South Eastern Bar

Strengths: "Naomi Webber's relatively junior call belies an incredibly capable, strong and diligent advocate."  
"Naomi is able to get to the core of the issues involved in complex cases to achieve the desired result."

**Chambers UK 2024**/Employment/South Eastern Bar

Strengths: "Naomi was really commercial with what we needed and did it quickly, which was a large part of what allowed us to attack and ultimately settle."

**Chambers UK 2023**/Employment/South Eastern Bar

**Legal 500 2025**/Employment/Rising Star/South Eastern Circuit

'Naomi has the ability to explain fairly technical aspects of employment law clearly, thoroughly and without unnecessary jargon.'

**Legal 500 2024**/Employment/Rising stars/South Eastern Circuit

'Naomi is excellent – she is extremely thorough and capable. She is highly approachable and has excellent attention to detail. Her attendance notes are always comprehensive.'

Rising star Naomi Webber is 'an incredibly capable, strong, and diligent barrister' and successfully represented an employer in direct and indirect sex discrimination claims relating to breastfeeding and childcare.

**Legal 500 2023**/Employment/Rising stars/South Eastern Circuit

## **Academic qualifications**

- Bar Professional Training Course, City Law School, 2016-17
- LLM in Law, University College London, 2015-16
- BA Jurisprudence (Law), Worcester College, University of Oxford, 2011-2014

## **Scholarships**

- Inner Temple Exhibition Award, 2016

## **Professional qualifications & appointments**

- Member of the Attorney General's C Panel

## **Professional bodies**

- Constitutional and Administrative Law Bar Association (ALBA)
- Education Law Association (ELAS)
- Employment Lawyers Association (ELA)
- Employment Law Bar Association (ELBA)
- Industrial Law Society (ILS)

## **Rankings**

- Legal 500 – Rising Star (Employment, South East)
- Chambers and Partners – Up and Coming (Employment, South East)